UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

SARA M. SANKOWICH

EXHIBIT SMS-1

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission

Docket No. DE 18-xxx

March 15, 2018

Docket No. DE 18-___ Testimony of Sara M. Sankowich Exhibit SMS-1 Page 1 of 4

I. **INTRODUCTION** Q. Please state your name and business address. My name is Sara M. Sankowich. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West, A. Hampton, New Hampshire 03842. **O**. What is your position and what are your responsibilities? A. I am the System Arborist of Unitil Service Corp., which provides centralized utility management services to Unitil Corporation's utility operating subsidiaries, including Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. ("Unitil Energy" or "the Company"). My primary responsibility is the electric operations vegetation management program. **O**. Please describe your business and educational background.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 A. I have over 16 years of professional experience in the utility industry with an extensive 12 background utility vegetation management. I joined Unitil Service Corp. in 2011 as the 13 System Arborist. Prior to joining Unitil Corporation, I was employed for six years at 14 National Grid where I advanced through positions in utility vegetation management. 15 The last position I held with National Grid prior to joining Unitil was that of Manager, 16 Vegetation Management Strategy. Prior to National Grid I held a utility arborist 17 position with Orange & Rockland Utilities, and a position with Northern Indiana Public 18 Service Company as a consultant through Environmental Consultants Inc. I hold a 19 Bachelor of Science degree in Forestry Resource Management from the State 20 University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry.

1	Q.	Do you have any certifications that qualify you to speak to issues related to
2		vegetation management?
3	А.	Yes. I am a Certified Arborist through the International Society of Arboriculture.
4	Q.	Have you previously testified or submitted testimony before the New Hampshire
5		Public Utilities Commisssion ("Commission"), or other regulatory agencies?
6	А.	Yes, I have appeared previously as an expert witness or submitted testimony before the
7		Commission in several dockets, and have also testified before the Massachusetts
8		Department of Public Utilities in proceedings relating to vegetation management.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
10	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present and support the Company's proposal to
11		accelerate its Storm Resiliency Program ("SRP") by one year, completing an additional
12		one-third mileage during 2018, 2019, and 2020. This would increase spending by
13		\$474,333 for these three years, bringing the total SRP spend for each of these years to
14		\$1,897,333. After 2020, we would return to the current SRP spending level.
15	Q.	Please describe the SRP.
16	A.	The SRP is a companion program to the Company's comprehensive vegetation
17		management program ("VMP"), aimed at reducing tree exposure along select circuits in
18		order to improve performance during major and minor storm events. The goal of the
19		SRP is to reduce tree-related incidents, resulting customer interruptions, and more
20		significantly, resulting municipality impact along critical portions of targeted lines in
21		minor and major weather events. In turn, the Company aims to reduce the overall cost

of storm preparation and response, improve restoration, and preserve municipal critical
infrastructure for the purpose of enhancing public health and safety. The Company is

proposing a one year acceleration of the SRP in order to move up and realize these
 benefits of reduced tree exposure along electric overhead lines and the reduce the
 overall cost of storm preparation and response, and improve system performance during
 major storm events.

5 Q. Have you performed any studies or analyses that demonstrate that the SRP is 6 achieving its goals?

7 A. Yes. The Company's 2018 REP and VMP Annual Report, filed with the Commission 8 on March 15, 2018, under separate cover, contains an analysis of the SRP program and 9 provides support for the request to accelerate the program. It is difficult to prove or to 10 quantify, however, what might have happened had the Company not undertaken the 11 SRP. By trending storm data over the past several years, I believe there is sufficient 12 empirical evidence to conclude that the program is meeting its stated objectives. Those 13 objectives include improvement of the reliability of treated circuits out to the first 14 protected device; reduction of the cost of storms by shortening the restoration time and 15 needing fewer resources needed to accomplish the restoration; and enhancing customer 16 relations by improving power availability during events that previously caused power 17 interruptions.

18 Q. Are ther other benefits that are being realized by the Company as a result of the 19 SRP?

A. Yes. In addition to the cost and resource trends in major storms, there is evidence of
 decline in outages under normal conditions and as a result of minor storms. This can be
 seen by studying the sections of circuit where the SRP has been performed. This too is
 discussed in the 2018 REP and VMP Report.

Q. What is the rate impact to customers of the proposed acceleration of the program by one year?

A. There is no additional cost to customers as the incremental costs that the Company
seeks to recover in years 2018-2020 would be incurred in 2021 if we keep the program
on its current schedule. The acceleration would have a minimal bill impact on a per
customer basis, as an average customer would see an increase of only \$0.24 per month.
By moving the program up by one year, we expect that the reduction in customer
outages, interruptions and restoration costs that would be realized as a result of the work
scheduled for 2021 will be realized sooner, during the 2018-2020 time frame.

- 10 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?
- 11 A. Yes, it does.